





Belo Horizonte, September 12 - 15<sup>th</sup> 2024

## Excited-state absorption thermometry as a pathway to unlock high sensitivity

Leonardo F. Saraiva<sup>1,2</sup>, Airton G. Bispo-Jr.<sup>3</sup>, Sergio A. M. Lima<sup>1,2</sup>, Ana M. Pires<sup>1,2</sup>

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Presidente Prudente-SP, Brazil. Department of Chemistry and Environmental Sciences, São Paulo State University (UNESP), São José do Rio Preto, Brazil.
Institute of Chemistry, University of Campinas (IQ-UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil E-mail: ana.maria@unesp.br

Thematic Area: Rare-Earths

Keywords: Lanthanides thermometry, materials design, thermometry strategies

As the foremost thermodynamic state variable, the precise measurement of temperature holds increasing significance in state-of-the-art technologies, such as the processing capabilities of networked devices<sup>1</sup>. Over the years, advancements in temperature measurement have been manifold, with remote temperature sensing via luminescence thermometry (LT) currently in the spotlight among the available methods. In LT, temperature-induced changes in the spectroscopic properties of an ensemble of probes is harnessed to output a thermal readout<sup>1</sup>. However, a recently raised caveat in the literature is: which property and/or strategy should be used in LT? Exploring new strategies and thermal dependencies may push the field of LT toward unforeseen limits. Mindful of this interplay, using excited-state absorption (ESA) in LT falls within deeply unexplored strategies, as only a handful of works have dealt with this plan-of-action<sup>2</sup>. In this context, two proof-of-concept phosphors, i.e., GdYO<sub>3</sub>:Eu<sup>III</sup>(1-9at.%) and GdYO<sub>3</sub>:Eu<sup>III</sup>(1-9at.%),Al<sup>III</sup>(3at.%) were synthesized by an adapted Pechini method at 1100 °C/5 h under an air atmosphere. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis exhibited the singlephase formation of GdYO<sub>3</sub> host, enabling the photophysical investigation of the phosphor. At room temperature, the 7%-Eu<sup>III</sup> phosphor excelled among all samples, while the 9%-Eu<sup>III</sup>,3%-Al<sup>III</sup> sample displayed the highest relative emission intensity among the Al<sup>III</sup>-codoped phosphors. Hence, from now on these samples are labelled as 7Eu and 9EuAl, respectively. Accordingly, emission spectra in the 77 − 500 K temperature range under 464 nm ( ${}^5D_2 \leftarrow {}^7F_0$ ) and 532 nm ( ${}^5D_1 \leftarrow {}^7F_1$ ) excitation were recorded. The ratio between the  ${}^5D_0 \rightarrow {}^7F_2$  (612 nm) emission band under both excitations was used as a thermometric parameter. It is noteworthy that this strategy is only possible due to the presence of thermally coupled  ${}^{7}F_{0}$  and  ${}^{7}F_{1}$  levels, since at high temperatures, the population of  ${}^{7}F_{1}$  increases. Interestingly, the highest relative sensitivity (S<sub>r</sub>) assumed values of 2.02% K<sup>-1</sup> and 2.52% K<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, for the 7Eu and 9EuAl samples, close to the cryogenic temperature of 77 K. Although these thermometers adhered to the conventional Boltzmann behavior due to the high thermal coupling between <sup>7</sup>F<sub>0,1</sub>, they exhibited S<sub>r</sub> values higher than those of commonly studied Boltzmann thermometers<sup>2</sup>, symbolizing notable progress. The thermal resolution problem poses a meaningful query in LT. However, both thermometers displayed temperature uncertainties ( $\delta T$ ) below 0.05 K close to 77 K, whereas the highest  $\delta T$  assumed values near 0.15 K at 500 K. This finding implies high thermal resolution, and, when combined with the desired S<sub>r</sub> values, highlight the potential of this strategy. Overall, this work underscores that scratching only the surface of a combination of plan-of-action and materials design may unlock the potential of luminescent thermometers towards high sensitivities.

Acknowledgments: FAPESP (2023/05718-9), CNPq (Grant number 304003/2018-2), LML – UNICAMP.

## References

[1] E. Ximendes, R. Marin, L. D. Carlos, D. Jaque, <u>Light Sci. Appl.</u> 237, 1 – 13 (2022).

[2] J. Stefánska, A. Bednarkiewicz, L. Marciniak, <u>J. Mat. Chem. C</u> 10, 5744 – 5782 (2022)